



Classifying fusion categories



Miller Symposium
June 4-6, 2010

Scott Morrison
Miller Institute / Mathematics

Background



Bio


- I'm from
- Berkeley Ph.D 2007.
- Microsoft Station Q 2007-2009.
- Miller Fellow since mid-2009.
- Miller host Vaughan Jones.

Research interests

- Higher-dimensional algebra (fusion categories, n -categories)
- Quantum field theory and homotopy theory
- Subfactors and von Neumann algebras


What is ... a fusion category?

In the **Standard Model** of particle physics, we have fundamental particles leptons and quarks in 3 generations, and 4 bosons




interactions described by trivalent graphs (~ Feynman diagrams)

Example (beta decay)



Example (Higgs boson at the LHC?)

At high energies, Higgs bosons might be produced.



amplitudes for histories

- quantum mechanical 'probabilities'
- described by a complicated *Lagrangian*
- depend on position, momentum, energy, etc.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}} = \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f (i \not{\partial} - m_f) \psi_f - i g \bar{\psi}_f \not{A} \psi_f + \dots$$

A **fusion category** is a combinatorial abstraction of this setting.

- throw out all geometry and dynamics! (no position, no momentum)

A fusion category has


- finitely many *particle types*
- combinatorial rules describing *particle interactions*
- an *amplitude* for each history

satisfying a *locality condition*: the amplitude for a large history can be computed from the amplitudes for constituent parts.


Example: the golden category

Just one type of particle, called τ .
When two τ particles interact, they can either

- annihilate, producing the vacuum



- or combine to form a single τ particle.



(Note there are no conserved quantities in this universe!)
We write this symbolically as $\tau \otimes \tau \cong 1 \oplus \tau$, or graphically as



Calculate the amplitude of a (closed) history using the (unique!) local rules:


$$\begin{aligned} \text{vacuum} &= \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \\ \tau &= \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \end{aligned} \quad \left(+ (2 - \sqrt{5}) \right)$$

Amazing fact: any way you use these rules, you get a *consistent answer!*

Quantum computing

Fusion categories are just mathematical toys!
Or are they?



The **Fractional Quantum Hall Effect** (low temperature, high magnetic field, 2d electron gases) seems to be described by certain fusion categories!



the golden category?

The "quasi-particle excitations" of the system satisfy the rules of a fusion category. In the low temperature limit, the combinatorial abstraction reflects real lab benchtop physics!

It may be possible to build a computer using the FQHE: the golden category is **universal for quantum computing**. For now, we're trying to build simpler devices to characterise the systems.




Classification

Classifying all fusion categories is probably too hard.
We'd like to understand all the 'small' ones.

Theorem (many people, 2009-2010)

We can classify all the possible combinatorial interactions for (subfactor) fusion categories with "index less than 5".

- four well-understood families (including the golden category)
- five 'exotic' examples




Constructing exotic examples

The classification theorem allows the possibility of certain **exotic fusion categories**. Just recently, they've all been discovered!


Theorem (Bigelow-Morrison-Peters-Snyder 2009)

There really is a fusion category with particle types and interactions given by:



Proof.

histories are described by graphs which are 3- or 8-valent, amplitudes via the "jellyfish algorithm":



using certain rules, e.g.:

$$\frac{f(2n+4)}{2n+4} = \frac{[2][2n+4]}{[n+1][n+2]} \frac{f(2n+4)}{2n+4}$$

consistency is hard!